UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
LERIN PIERCE,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
_	:	1661 4503 (0) (0)
- against -	: :	16 Civ. 5703 (BMC)
POLICE OFFICER TAQI, POLICE OFFICER BELARDO, and POLICE OFFICER	:	
MERCADO,	:	
Defendants.	:	
Detendants.	:	
	X	

THE VERDICT FORM

	and the first of the control of the	
T	EXCESSIVE	DODOD
	HXIHOLVE	HILLING H
4.		T CONTRACTOR

1.	Has plaintiff proven by a preponder	ance of the evidence that defendant Zeeshan Taqi
	used excessive force against him?	
	YES	NO
Procee	ed to Question 2	
2.	Has plaintiff proven by a prepondera	ance of the evidence that defendant Sonia Belardo
	used excessive force against him?	
	YES	NO
Procee	ed to Question 3	
3.	Has plaintiff proven by a prepondera	ance of the evidence that defendant Ivan Mercado
	used excessive force against him?	
	YES	NO

If you answered NO to Questions 1 through 3, then your deliberations are over and your verdict is complete. Please proceed to the last page and sign on the signature line.

If you answered YES to any of Questions 1 through 3, proceed to the next page.

## II. FAILURE TO INTERVENE

If you answered YES to Question 1, then proceed to Question 4. If you answered YES to Question 2, then proceed to Question 5. If you answered YES to Question 3, then proceed to Question 6.

	estion 6.	ion 5. If you answered YES	to Question 3, then proceed
4.	Having found that defendant	Taqi used excessive force, ha	s plaintiff also proven by a
	preponderance of the eviden	ce that the remaining defendar	nts failed to intervene in
	preventing the excessive for	ce?	
	Ivan Mercado:	YES	NO
	Sonia Belardo:	YES	NO
5.	Having found that defendant	Belardo used excessive force	, has plaintiff also proven by a
	preponderance of the eviden	ce that the remaining defendar	nts failed to intervene in
	preventing the excessive for		
	Ivan Mercado:	YES	NO
	Zeeshan Taqi:	YES	NO
6.	Having found that defendant	Mercado used excessive force	e, has plaintiff also proven by a
	preponderance of the eviden	ce that the remaining defendar	nts failed to intervene in
	preventing the excessive for	ce?	
	Zeeshan Taqi:	YES	NO
	Sonia Belardo:	YES	NO

Proceed to Question 7.

III.	DAMAGES
7.	State the total dollar amount, if any, of compensatory damages that plaintiff is entitled to
	recover.
	Compensatory Damages \$3000
	found that plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, then proceed to Question 8. I found that plaintiff is not entitled to compensatory damages, then proceed to ion 9.
8.	Of the compensatory damages that plaintiff sustained, indicate how much, if any, each
	defendant is liable for:
	Zeeshan Taqi \$
	Sonia Belardo \$_2000_
	Ivan Mercado \$
Procee	ed to Question 10
9.	If you found that plaintiff is not entitled to compensatory damages, then award him
	nominal damages in a sum not to exceed \$1.00.
	Nominal Damages \$
Procee	ed to Question 10

10. Has plaintiff proven by a pro	eponderance of the evidence that punitive damages should be	)e
awarded in this case?		
YES 12,000	NO	
If you answered YES, state t	he amount of punitive damages, if any, as to each defendant	t.
Zeeshan Taqi	\$	
Sonia Belardo	\$ <u>6000</u>	
Ivan Mercado	\$ 600 a	

You have completed this verdict sheet. Proceed to the bottom of this page and sign on the signature line.

We, the Jury duly empaneled and sworn in the above-entitled action, upon our

**Foreperson** 

Dated: Brooklyn, New York June 16, 2017

oaths, do find the above verdict.